



PY 2026 CAPCOG Criminal Justice Advisory Committee Score Sheet

BASTROP BLANCO BURNET CALDWELL FAYETTE HAYS LEE LLANO TRAVIS WILLIAMSON

Funding Opportunity:		Date:	
Applicant Agency:			
Project Title:			
Application Number:		Amount Requested:	\$

Objective Score	Points Scale	Yes	No		Score
Did the applicant attend CAPCOG's Grant Workshop?	0 or 5				
	Points Scale	Before Indicated Deadline	Between Deadline and 5 days before presentations	Not Submitted	Score
Did the Applicant Submit a Project Summary Sheet?	0, 5, or 10				
Total Points Scored:					

<u>Discretionary Score</u>		
Regional Priorities (10 points)	Maximum Points Allowed	Score
Does the project address 1 or more of the 5 criminal justice regional priorities and/or serve as a current resource filling in a gap in services identified during the planning process? Please note the Regional Priorities identified were Violence Prevention (Juvenile Justice and School-based system), Training and Equipment for Law Enforcement (Criminal Justice System), Crisis Intervention Services (Mental Health/ Substance Abuse), Case Management/Wrap Around Services (Mental Health/Substance Abuse), and Crisis Intervention Services (Victims of Crime)	10	

Application Review (50 points)	Maximum Points Allowed	Score

Are the project cost in the budget eligible, reasonable, and essential to the goal and activities of the project?	10	
Does the applicant's approach clearly address the problem and outlined activities that will be conducted during the project?	10	
Does the applicant use supporting data that demonstrates the problem exists, demonstrates the problem's size and scope, and demonstrates the problem's negative effects on the target population?	10	

How well does the project support a regionally integrated criminal justice system that provides inherent value?	10	
How capable is the applicant in executing the project identified in the application and completing any required reporting?	10	
Project Presentation (25 points)	Maximum Points Allowed	Score
Is the applicant's presentation consistent with the content provided in the written application?	15	
Does the agency have a strategy to sustain this project if grant funds are not available in subsequent years or if the project received a reduction in funding?	10	

Proposed Funding:

Funding Requested on Application	Proposed funding based on individual CJAC member review

Vote Exemption Status

Per the CAPCOG Criminal Justice Advisory Committee Policy Statement and the requirements established by the State of Texas Office of the Governor's (OOG), a CJAC member must abstain from reviewing, voting, commenting, presenting, or taking any action on any grant application that could be a conflict of interest.

I abstain from voting on this project as I am either employed by or am directly affiliated with the applicant agency and, therefore, cannot vote or provide comment or discussion on the project and all other applications in the same funding category.

Member Voting Agreement

As a CAPCOG Criminal Justice Advisory Committee member, I agree that I have scored this application in honesty and fairness. I understand this committee's bylaws, scoring practices, and funding process and agree to the requirements established by the Office of the Governor's Criminal Justice Grant Division.

Member Signature

Final Score			
Category	CJAC Member Score	Multiplier	Final Score
Non-profit Project		1.0	
Local Government New and Continuation Project		1.0	
Progressed Local Government Project		0.5	